

Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment (MoSTE)
Department of Hydrology and Meteorology (DHM)
Community Based Flood and Glacial Lake Outburst Risk Reduction Project (CFGORRP)
Field Visit Reporting

Team Members:

01. Dr. Govind Acharya, Technical Advisor, Component II
02. Ms. Anita Adhikari, Monitoring and Evaluation Officer
03. Mr. Shreedhar Adhikari, Administrative and Finance Officer

Overall objective of the field visit/ mission:

- Prior review of financial documents
- Preparation and site observation for audit 2015 field visit
- Introduction, interaction and FCO Lahan activities implementation modalities

1. Key activities carried out based on the travel itinerary :

Date/ Day	Districts/VDCs visited	Persons/ Groups interacted with	Description of Field visit Program/Activities
Day 1: 21 Feb 2016	DWIDP site office, Mahottari Sarpallo VDC, Mahottari	DWIDP field office Mahottari's SDE interaction Sarpallo VDC Community interaction	Annex is attached for details
Day 2: 22 Feb 2016	Tulasi 6, Bahun Mara, Mahottari Tulasipur 5, Mahottari	Interaction with VDC Secrerary Tulasi 6 and Tulasipur community interaction	Annex is attached for details
Day 3: 23 Feb 2016	Jogidaha VDC, Udaypur Hadiya 5, Udaypur	Interaction with community and VDC Secretary, Site visited with VDC Secretary	Annex is attached for details
Day 4: 24 Feb 2016	DSCO Udaypur DSCO Saptari Digwa 8, Saptari	Interaction with DSCO Udaypur and Saptari, Interacted with Digwa 8, Saptari community	Annex is attached for details
Day 5: 25 Feb 2016	Field Coordination Office Lahan	Interaction with FCO staff; Briefed on field visit purpose; Reviwed financial documents of 2015 Feedback for improvements on financial documentation	Annex is attached for details
Day 6: 26 Feb 2016	Field Coordination Office Lahan	Moved to Janakpur Fly back to Kathmandu	

2. Major observations/findings made from the field visit/ mission:

- *Lack of ownership in community works,*
- *Community and line agencies have had a high expectation from the project,*
- *Communication and coordination should be a regular business to keep informed the stakeholders,*
- *Regular sharing of the activity and output of the project will support better implementation,*

3. Key Recommendations and conclusions:

(What strategic recommendations would you propose to the Project Management regarding implementation and future course of action?)

4. Outputs from the Field Visit/ Mission:

- *Reviewed financial documents and provided feedback,*
- *Preparation and site observation for audit 2015 field visit discussed with FCO team,*
- *Introduction, interaction and FCO Lahan activities implementation modalities cleared,*

5. Likely Follow-ups that might be necessary after the visit (What Next?):

(Pin-point the probability of follow-ups that might likely be necessary to validate and contribute towards information and knowledge that you collected during the field visit)

6. Major changes observed, if any, since the last field visit made:

First field visit to the field, so not relevant in this time.